

Remarks/Arguments:

Response to Restriction Requirement

In response to the Restriction Requirement, applicants elect the claims of Group I, claims 1-9, drawn to a method for producing a refreshed developer, without prejudice to applicants' right to pursue the subject matter of claims 10-27 in a separate divisional application.

Amendments

Claims 10-27, drawn to an unelected invention, have been cancelled without prejudice to applicants' right to pursue the subject matter of these claims in a separate divisional application.

Claim 1 has been amended to clarify the claim. Support for the amendment is found on page 6, lines 25-29. Support for newly presented claim 28 is found in original claim 9. Support for newly presented claim 29 is found on page 1, lines 9-13. Support for newly presented claim 30 is found on page 1, lines 9-13, and on page 8, line 21.

It is submitted that no new matter is introduced by these amendments and new claims.

The Examiner is invited to phone applicants' attorney if it is believed that a telephonic or personal interview would expedite prosecution of the application.

Appln. No.: 09/992,688
Amendment Dated October 3, 2003
Reply to Restriction Requirement of September 10, 2003

KPG-5040US

Respectfully submitted,



Bruce M. Monroe, Reg. No. 33,602
Frank P. Tise, Reg. No. 50,379
Attorney and Agent for Applicant

Dated: October 3, 2003

RatnerPrestia
P.O. Box 1596
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 778-2500
FAX: (302) 778-2600

The Commissioner for Patents is hereby
authorized to charge payment to Deposit
Account No. 18-0350 of any fees associated
with this communication.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited
with the United States Postal Service as first class mail,
with sufficient postage, in an envelope addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA
22313-1450 on:



